Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Volume 8 Number 2 February 2017 ISSN 2141-6591



ABOUT JCO

The Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds (JCO) is published weekly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds (JCO) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as: Effects of Zinc fertilizer application on the incidence of rice stem borers, effect of extraction conditions on the yield and quality of oil from castor bean, effects of plant density of intercropped soybean with tall sorghum on competitive ability of soybean and economic yield, *in vitro* and *in vivo* responses of different treating agents against wilt disease of safflower etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JCO are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us	
Editorial Office:	jco@academicjournals.org
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website:	http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JCO
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.me/

Editors

Dr P.K. Agrawa

Principal Scientist Biotechnology Unit Division of Crop Improvement Vivekanand Institute of Hill Agriculture (Indian Council of Agriculture Research) Almora-263601 Uttarakhand India

Prof He Yong

College of Biosystem Engineering & Food Science Zhejiang University 268 Kaixuan Rd Hangzhou 310029 Zhejiang, China

Dr. Mohamed Fawzy Ramadan Hassanien Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Agriculture Zagazig university,Zagazig 44511, Zagazig, Egypt

Prof. Dr. Bahaa El Din Mekk

Field Crops Research Department, National Research Center, El - Bohoos St (El-Tahrir) -Dokki - Giza - Egypt

Dr. Héctor Eduardo Martínez Flores Chemical Pharmacology Faculty of the Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, MOrelia Michoacán, México

Dr. Dimitrios J. Bilalis

Agricultural University of Athens, Department of Crop Science, Lab. Of Crop Production, Iera Odos 75, 10445, Athens, Greece

Dr. Mathias Schmidt

Herbresearch Germany Wartbergweg 15 D-86874 Mattsies Germany

Dr. Howard Qi

Michigan Technological University 1400 Townsend Dr., Houghton, MI 49931, U.S.A.

Dr. Florence M'mogi Olubayo

Dept. of Plant Science and Crop Protection University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197, Nairob Kenya

Editorial Board

Dr. Arash Kianianmomeni

Department of Cellular and Developmental Biology of Plants University of Bielefeld D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany.

Dr. Paunescu Gabriela

Agriculture And Development Research Station Simnic Craiova, Address Balcesti Street No 54 Simnic Craiova County Dolj, Romania.

Dr. Ayo Jerome Adekunle

Joseph Ayo Babalola University Ikeji- Arakeji Address PMB 5006 Ilesha Osun State, Nigeria.

Prof A. Zaman

Department of Agronomy BCKV Mohanpur-741 252, West Bengal India.

Dr. Wei Wang

College of Life Science Henan Agricultural University Wenhua Road 95 450002 Zhengzhou, China.

Dr. P.K. Viswanathan Gujarat Institute of Development Research (GIDR), Gota Ahmedabad - 380 060 Gujarat, India.

Dr. Svetoslav Todorov

University of Sao Paulo Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences Sao Paulo, Brasil.

Dr. Pitipong Thobunluepop

Faculty of Technology Department of Plant Production Technology Mahasarakham University Talard Sub-District Muang District Maha Sarakham 44000, Thailand.

Dr. Devarajan Thangadurai

Department of Botany Karnatak University Dharwad Karnataka 580003, India.

Dr. Supradip Saha

NRM Division V.P.K.A.S. Almora Uttarakhand-263 601, Indian.

Prof. Kuldeep Singh

GS Khush Laboratories School of Agricultural Biotechnology Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana 141 004, India .

Dr. Bita Naseri

Department of Plant Protection Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center PO Box 4519-51494, Zanjan Iran.

Dr. P. K. Das Mohapatra

Department of Microbiology vidyasagar University midnapore - 721 102 west Bengal India.

Dr. Mehdi Tolo

No. 136, Forsate Shirazi st., Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, P. O. Box 13185.768. Iran.

Prof. Dr. Bahaa El Din Mekki

Field Crops Research Department National Research Center El - Bohoos St (El- Tahrir) - Dokki - Giza -Egypt.

Dr. Arash Kianianmomeni

Department of Cellular and Developmental Biology of Plants University of Bielefeld D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany.

Dr. Dattatreya M. Kadam

Division of Food Grains and Oilseeds Processing Central Institute of Post-Harvest Engineering and Technology (CIPHET) PO: PAU Ludhiana-141004 Punjab, India.

Dr. Navin Kumar Jain AICRP on Cropping Systems (ECF) Department of Agronomy Rajasthan College of Agriculture Udaipur-313 001, India.

Dr. Mohammad Nazmul Islam

Associate Professor and Head Department of Civil Engineering Presidency University 11A, Road 92 Gulshan 2 Dhaka 1212 Bangladesh.

Dr. Luis Héctor Hernández Hdez

Laboratorio de Producción Acuícola UNAM FES Iztacala Av. de los Barrios 1, Los Reyes Iztacala Tlalnepantla, Edo. de México.

Dr. Baragur Neelappa Divakara

Global Theme on crop improvement International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) Patancheru - 502 324 Andhra Pradesh India.

Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Table of Contents: Volume 8 Number 2 February 2017 ARTICLE Phosphorus and sulphur releasing pattern and their availability for maize cultivation 10 S. Nanthakumar and P. Panneerselvam 10

academic Journals

Vol. 8(2), pp. 10-13, February 2017 DOI: 10.5897/JCO2016.0152 Article Number: BF5669B62641 ISSN 2141-6591 Copyright ©2017 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JCO

Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Full Length Research Paper

Phosphorus and sulphur releasing pattern and their availability for maize cultivation

S. Nanthakumar^{1*} and P. Panneerselvam²

¹PGP college of Agricultural Sciences, Namakkal (Affiliated to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok, Thailand

Received 19 April 2016; Accepted 8 August 2016

Maize is the third most important cereal crop next to rice and wheat in the world as well as in India. Maize has the higher yield potential and responds greatly to applied fertilizers especially in the irrigated conditions in Tamil Nadu. Phosphorus and sulphur are the major yield limiting factors after nitrogen in the study area (Sivagangai district of Tamil Nadu) and proper management of nutrients is essential to realize the maximum potential of the crop and to get higher economic benefit. This study aimed at investigating the releasing pattern of P and S from the experimental soil at different incubation period. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design replicated thrice. The soil samples were collected from the experimental plots and used for incubation period. The results found that with progress of time (15 to 60 days of incubation), increasing levels of P and sulphur and their interaction significantly increased the phosphorous release from the soil. Similarly, sulphur availability was also influenced by S levels and P levels, with maximum release of S from 15 days to 30 days.

Key words: Maize, phosphorus, sulphur, releasing pattern, incubation periods.

INTRODUCTION

Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals because of its highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. It is cultivated on nearly 171 m ha in about 160 countries having wider diversity of soil, climate, biodiversity and management practices that contributes 36% (885 m t) in the global grain production (Agricultural Statistics, 2013). In India, maize is the third most important food crops after rice and wheat, grown for food, feed and several industrial purposes. Maize is cultivated both in irrigated and rainfed situations in India. In India, maize occupies 8.55 million hectare producing 22 million tonnes with productivity of 2540 kg ha⁻¹ (Agricultural Statistics, 2012). Maize contributes nearly 9% in the national food basket and more than Rs. 100 billion to the agricultural GDP at current prices apart from the generating employment to over 100 million man-days at the farm and downstream agricultural and industrial sectors. In addition to staple food for human being and quality feed for animals, maize

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nandusecret@gmail.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> serves as a basic raw material as an ingredient to thousands of industrial products that includes starch, oil, protein. alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners. pharmaceutical, cosmetic, film, textile, gum, package and paper industries etc. In India, maize is used as human food (23%), poultry feed (51%), animal feed (12%), industrial (starch) products (12%), beverages and seed (1% each). In Tamil Nadu, between 2012 and 2013 it is cultivated over an area of 0.33 million hectare with the production of 1.19 million tonnes and the average productivity of 6042 kg ha⁻¹ (Agricultural Statistics, 2013). Soil fertility management is very important to ensure the supply of nutrients in sufficient amounts and desirable proportions to improve the crop productivity. Among the major nutrients, phosphorus ranks next to nitrogen in importance on account of its vital role in major life processes. Its availability to the growing crop in required level is of prime importance in soil fertility. Phosphorus fertilization is imminent to all crops for maximizing crop yield. Application of fertilizer P in balanced proportion with other essential nutrients produces higher crop yields and ensures more profit to farmers (Kumaresan and Doraisamy, 2005). Phosphorus is the most critical element in highly weathered tropical and subtropical soils and percent utilization of applied P by the crops is very low.

Sulphur is now recognized as the 4th major plant nutrient along with N, P, and K. In early 1990s, it was estimated in 130 districts of India where sulphur application was needed to obtain higher yields. But S deficient becomes prominent and has increased to more than 200 districts in 2001. This list is increasing as more results of research become available. Therefore, sulphur is now very much a part of a balanced fertilization and soils should be supplied with sulphur for increasing yield. This necessitates the study on the response of crop to different levels of fertilizer. Maize has high yield potential and responds greatly to applied fertilizers. Therefore, proper management of nutrients is essential to realize the maximum potential of the crop and to get higher economic benefit. This study aimed at investigating the releasing pattern of P and S from the experimental soil at different incubation period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An incubation experiments was conducted to study the releasing pattern of P and S at different incubation period from the experimental soil where hybrid maize was grown. The soil was collected from farmer's field in Sivagangai district which is brought under sub zone V of Southern zone, as per Agro climatic zone grouping. The mean annual rainfall in Sivagangai block is 912 mm, which has been distributed during southwest monsoon (348 mm), northeast monsoon (411 mm), winter rains (29 mm) and summer rains (124 mm). The soil moisture regime is Ustic, with mean temperature varying from 25.5°C in January to 31.5°C during April to July.

The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design replicated thrice. The soil samples were collected from the experimental plots and used for incubation studies. The initial soil samples were analysed for available P before incubation. 200 g of soil was used with the dose optimized from the field experiment. Phosphorus was applied as Di-ammonium phosphate while sulphur was applied as gypsum. Total five levels of P2O5 were used for incubation study as; P1: 0 g P2O5 per 200 g of soil; P2: 0.0097 g P₂O₅ per 200 g of soil; P₃: 0.0145 g P₂O₅ per 200 g of soil; P₄: 0.0194 g P₂O₅ per 200 g of soil. Similarly, five levels of sulphur were used for incubation study as; S_1 : 0 g S per 200 g of soil; S_2 : 0.0089 g S per 200 g of soil; S₃: 0.0178 g S 200 g of soil; S₄: 0.0267 g S 200 g of soil. The soil was incubated in 250 ml polyethylene cups at room temperature for a period of 75 days, maintaining the moisture at field capacity. The soil samples were analyzed for releasing pattern and interaction effect of P and S were analyzed for 15, 30, 45 and 60 days of incubation.

The experimental field had pH of 7.3 and electrical conductivity of 0.37 dSm⁻¹. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the soil was 7.6 cmol (p^+) kg⁻¹. The available N, P and K status of the soil were 182, 10.11 and 274 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The available S status of the soil was 14.2 mg kg⁻¹. The experimental site belonged to the order Alfisol (TypicHaplustalf) as per the soil taxonomy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phosphorus release pattern

With progress of time (15 to 60 days of incubation), increasing levels of P and S and their interaction significantly increased the phosphorous release from the soil (Table 1). Phosphorous availability increased with increasing phosphorous levels and with increasing time. maximum at 60 days of incubation. Similarly, phosphorous availability increased with increasing sulphur levels and time, maximum available at 60 days. The interaction effects of phosphorus and sulphur levels on phosphorous availability were also positive and maximum at higher levels and at 60 days. It might be due to the fact that the amount of phosphate sorption and desorption increased with increase in amount of P added and it varied with various soil properties viz., clay, CaCO₃, Fe and Al oxides etc similar trend of results were reported by Dhillion et al. (2004). And also due to the fact that the sulphur applied is converted into sulphuric acid which might have favoured the release of phosphorus from its adsorption sites.

Sulphur release pattern

Sulphur availability increasing sharply from 15 days to 30 days and then increasing with decreasing trend and became static from 45 to 60 days (Table 2). Sulphur availability increased with increasing sulphur levels. Sulphur availability was higher at low phosphorous levels such as P_1 and P_2 than no P_3 and P_4 at higher levels in all incubation periods. Interaction effect of P and S was positive and maximum availability found at combination

Table 1	. Effect of phosphorus	and sulphur levels or	availability of	i phosphorus ((mg kg ⁻¹) at	different incubation periods.
---------	------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------

		Phosphorus (mg kg ⁻¹)												
			15	DOI			30 DOI							
Phosphorus			Sulph	ur levels			Sulphur levels							
levels	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	Mean		
Po	5.87	6.54	6.86	7.28	7.58	6.82	6.32	6.99	7.31	7.73	8.03	7.27		
P ₁	9.01	10.55	11.07	11.65	12.11	10.87	9.46	11.00	11.52	12.10	12.56	11.32		
P ₂	13.31	14.80	16.06	19.66	22.87	17.34	13.76	15.25	16.51	20.11	23.32	17.79		
P ₃	31.40	32.89	35.22	37.96	41.72	35.84	31.85	33.34	35.67	38.41	42.17	36.29		
P ₄	35.85	37.12	39.61	41.30	42.98	39.37	36.30	37.57	40.06	41.75	43.43	39.82		
Mean	19.09	20.38	21.76	23.57	25.45		19.54	20.83	22.21	24.02	25.90			
		Р	S	РхS				Р	S	РхS				
SEd		0.34	0.34	0.77				0.38	0.38	0.87				
CD (0.05)		0.71	0.71	1.59				0.80	0.80	1.79				
Trootmonto		Phosphorus (mg kg ⁻¹)												
Treatments			45	DOI			60 DOI							
Phosphorus	Sulphur levels							Sulphur levels						
levels	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean		
P ₀	7.02	7.69	8.01	8.43	8.73	7.97	7.67	8.34	8.66	9.08	9.38	8.62		
P ₁	10.16	11.70	12.22	12.80	13.26	12.02	10.81	12.35	12.87	13.45	13.91	12.67		
P ₂	14.46	15.95	17.21	20.81	24.02	18.49	15.11	16.60	17.86	21.46	24.67	19.14		
P ₃	32.55	34.04	36.37	39.11	42.87	36.99	33.20	34.69	37.02	39.76	43.52	37.64		
P ₄	37.00	38.27	40.76	42.45	44.13	40.52	37.65	38.92	41.41	43.10	44.78	41.17		
Mean	20.24	21.53	22.91	24.72	26.60		20.89	22.18	23.56	25.37	27.25			
		Р	S	РхS				Р	S	РхS				
SEd		0.38	0.38	0.87				0.34	0.34	0.78				
CD (0.05)		0.80	0.80	1.79				0.72	0.72	1.61				

Table 2. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on availability of sulphur (mg kg⁻¹) at different incubation periods.

T	Sulphur (mg kg ⁻¹)													
Treatments			15	DOI			30 DOI							
Phosphorus			Sulph	ur levels			Sulphur levels							
levels	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	Mean		
P ₀	3.22	4.57	12.29	16.56	17.37	10.80	4.01	7.81	29.42	41.37	43.64	25.25		
P ₁	3.16	6.12	12.81	16.86	17.68	11.33	3.85	12.15	30.86	42.21	44.51	26.71		
P ₂	3.05	6.39	13.01	16.33	17.92	11.34	3.55	12.91	31.42	40.73	45.17	26.75		
P ₃	3.36	6.13	12.69	16.10	17.52	11.16	4.42	12.15	30.54	40.07	44.05	26.25		
P ₄	3.26	5.26	12.11	15.48	16.91	10.61	4.12	9.74	28.92	38.34	42.35	24.69		
Mean	3.21	5.70	12.58	16.26	17.48		3.99	10.95	30.23	40.54	43.94			
		Р	S	РхS				Р	S	РхS				
SEd		0.12	0.12	0.28				0.35	0.35	0.79				
CD (0.05)		0.26	0.26	0.58				0.73	0.73	1.64				
Tasatasata	Sulphur (mg kg ⁻¹)													
Treatments			45	DOI			60 DOI							
Phosphorus	Sulphur levels						Sulphur levels							
levels	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	Mean		
P ₀	4.75	8.55	30.16	42.11	44.38	25.99	5.81	9.61	31.22	43.17	45.44	27.05		
P ₁	4.59	12.89	31.60	42.95	45.25	27.45	5.65	13.95	32.66	44.01	46.31	28.51		

P ₂	4.29	13.65	32.16	41.47	45.91	27.49	5.35	14.71	33.22	42.53	46.97	28.55
P ₃	5.16	12.89	31.28	40.81	44.79	26.99	6.22	13.95	32.34	41.87	45.85	28.05
P ₄	4.86	10.48	29.66	39.08	43.09	25.43	5.92	11.54	30.72	40.14	44.15	26.49
Mean	4.73	11.69	30.97	41.28	44.68		5.79	12.75	32.03	42.34	45.74	
		Р	S	РхS				Р	S	РхS		
SEd		0.34	0.34	0.77				0.35	0.35	0.79		
CD (0.05)		0.71	0.71	1.60				0.73	0.73	1.64		

Table 2. Contd.

of S_4 at P_1 and P_2 . It might be due to the reason that the interaction of these nutrients elements may affect the critical level of available S. These lines are in findings of ljgude and Kadam (2008). Generally, the available S has been observed in high concentration in soils might be due to the addition of higher levels of sulphur containing fertilizers. Similar trend of results were reported by Patel and Patel (1996). The incubation experiment implied that the soil was having low P and high S releasing power and there was a synergistic effect between the availability of P and S in the experimental soil. The study concludes that phosphorus and sulphur availability were increased with increased level of phosphorus and sulphur and maximum availability were found at 60 days of incubation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Agricultural Statistics at a glance (2012).Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India.http://agricoop.nic.in/agristatistics.htm
- Agricultural statistics at a glance (2013).Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of agriculture, Government of India. http://eands.dacnet.nic.in/latest_2013.htm
- Dhillon NS, Dhesi TS, and Brar BS (2004). Phosphate sorptiondesorption characteristics of some Ustifluvents of Punjab. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 52(1):17-22.
- ligude MB, Kadam JR (2008).Effect of sulfur and phosphorus on yield and quality of soybean. An Asian J. Soc. Sci. 3(1):142-143.
- Kumaresan, Doraisamy (2005). Sulphur, phosphorus and molybdenum interactions in relation to growth, uptake and utilization of sulphur in soyabean. Soil Sci. 130:26-31.
- Patel PC, Patel MS (1996). National seminar on Development in Soil Science, held at GAU, Anand. P 109.

Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science
- African Journal of Agricultural Research
- Journal of Horticulture and Forestry
- International Journal of Livestock Production
- Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management
- Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research

